1	UNITED STATES EASTERN DISTRIC	
2		X
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: 23-cr-00405-LDH
4		
5 6	-against-	: United States Courthouse : Brooklyn, New York
7		:
8	CHARLES LIMMER,	: Tuesday, February 27, 2024 :
9	Defendant.	· · ·
10		X
11 12	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMI BEFORE THE HONORABL UNITED STATES MA	LE VERA M. SCANLON
13	APPEAR	ANCES:
14	For the Government: BREON S. PE	
15	United States Attorney Eastern District of New York	District of New York
16	Brook1	dman Plaza East yn, New York 11201
17		. SHERMAN, ESQ. ant United States Attorney
18		FFICES OF RENEE M. WONG roadway
19	Suite	
20		M. WONG, ESQ.
21	Court Reporter: Nicole J. Sesta	a, RMR, CRR, RPR
22	Official Court	
23	Proceedings recorded by computer	rized stenography. Transcript
24	produced by Computer-aided Trans	
25		

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Criminal cause for pleading 1 2 in United States of America versus Charles Limmer, docket number 23-cr-405. 3 4 Counsel, please state your appearances, beginning with the government. 5 Good afternoon, Your Honor. 6 MR. SHERMAN: 7 Sean Sherman, Assistant United States Attorney, on 8 behalf of the government. With me at counsel table is Fish 9 and Wildlife Service Special Agent Lucia Ortiz. 10 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 11 MS. WONG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 12 Appearing for defendant, Charles Limmer, Renee Wong. 13 Mr. Limmer is seated presently to my left. 14 THE COURT: Okay. I'm Judge Scanlon. We're here for a change of plea hearing. Let me first make sure that 15 16 everyone has the same paperwork. So I have a copy of the 17 indictment. 18 It was filed with the Court on October 10th of 2023. 19 I have a copy of the consent of plea taken before a magistrate 20 judge. We'll go over that in a few minutes. I have the 21 elements sheet that was provided by the government. 22 It doesn't have a date on it, but that was provided. 23 I have a copy of the plea agreement, which I've marked as 24 Court Exhibit 1.

(Court's Exhibit 1 was received in evidence.)

So both sides, do you have a copy of the same paperwork?

MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. WONG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You can stay seated, unless there is something in particular that I ask you to stand for.

MS. WONG: Thank you.

THE COURT: So let me start with questions for the government. Are there any victims of the offenses, and if so has the government notified them of today's hearing and their right to attend and be heard?

MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, this is not a victim case.

THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Limmer, there is a lot of stages of today's proceeding. I'm going to be providing you with some information, and I'll also be asking you some questions before we get to the change of plea.

So what is proposed that you're going to do here today, which is to plead guilty two one of the counts in the indictment, is a serious decision. So my role here is to make sure you understand all of your rights and the consequences of your plea.

As we go along, I'm going to have to ask you some questions, and that's going to require that your answers be made under oath. So going to first check in with my law clerk and ask do you have the oath.

1	THE LAW CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: She is going to administer an oath to
3	you. You can stand up. Are you okay standing?
4	THE DEFENDANT: I'm okay.
5	THE LAW CLERK: Please raise your right hand, Mr.
6	Limmer.
7	(Defendant sworn.)
8	THE LAW CLERK: Please your name for the record.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Charles Mark Limmer.
10	THE COURT: Thank you. You can have a seat. Are
11	you okay?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm okay.
13	THE COURT: You can put your hand down. There is
14	two microphones on that table. Why don't we pull one in front
15	of you and one in front of your lawyer.
16	So as we go along today, if you have any trouble
17	hearing me, let me know. All right?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Do you understand that having just
20	agreed to that oath your answers to my questions are now
21	subject to the penalty of perjury, or making a false
22	statement, if you don't give me a truthful answer to my
23	questions?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Understood.
25	THE COURT: So if you answer any of my questions

falsely today, the government might decide to prosecute you for perjury, or making up -- for the crime of perjury or making a false statement. They could use any of your false statements today during that prosecution.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So I just want to confirm, this case is assigned to a district judge, Judge DeArcy Hall. She's the judge who will make the ultimate decision as to whether to accept your plea, and if she does, to give you your sentence.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You have the absolute right to have change of plea before Judge DeArcy Hall instead of before me and there won't be any prejudice to you.

THE DEFENDANT: What does the change of plea mean?

MS. WONG: Taking the plea.

THE COURT: Let me just remind you. You have your attorney here. If you want to have a conversation with your attorney, that's fine. It might be helpful if you let me know if you're going to have a conversation, or at least turn off the microphones because I can hear what you're saying if the microphones are on.

So a change of plea is when this case got moving and that indictment, one of the documents I mentioned, was issued

and the case started in that way against you with the indictment, then you entered a plea of not guilty.

So when I say a change of plea, what has been suggested to me is that after you get all the information that you need and have time to think about it, that you may want to plead guilty to at least one of the offenses in the indictment.

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: So just to go back to the district judge. You can have the proceeding before Judge DeArcy Hall or you can do it before me, if you would like.

So as you can see, we have a court reporter here. She's taking down everything that everyone is saying. At the end of the proceeding, she'll prepare a transcript and that transcript will be provided to the district judge, and then the judge will read it and make a decision as to whether to accept your plea. If she does, then she'll decide how to sentence you.

0kay?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: As we go along, if I ask you questions, if you're going to give me an answer, you need to say the answer out loud because the court reporter is typing it all.

Your client doesn't look so great. This is the first time I've met him. Is he feeling okay?

1	MS. WONG: Your Honor, he has a number of medical
2	conditions, including generalized anxiety disorder. This is
3	very stressful for him. I've spent hours preparing him for
4	this, and I do believe that he is prepared to go forward.
5	THE COURT: So Mr. Limmer, if you need to take a
6	break, we can do that.
7	THE DEFENDANT: No. I want to get this finished.
8	THE COURT: Okay. My job here is to provide you
9	with the information and to take your responses to my
0	questions and your lawyer's responses to my questions, but we
1	just picked a day. If we need to pick it up, the conversation
2	with the government about whether continuing it another day is
3	what you want to do.
4	Okay. Back to Judge DeArcy Hall. Two judges, I'm
5	helping her with this case. You have the option of having me
6	listen to the change of plea. So do you want to go ahead with
7	this change of plea before me and give up your right to do it
8	before the other judge?
9	THE DEFENDANT: You're fine, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Are you deciding to that voluntarily and
21	of your own free will?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Has anyone made any threats or promises
24	to you to get you to agree to proceed before me?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

1	THE COURT: I'm holding up this form. This is one
2	of the ones I mentioned that has consent of a plea taken
3	before me. Did you read this form?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Do you understand it?
6	We can show it to you. I'm going to ask my law
7	clerk to show it to you.
8	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
9	THE COURT: You read that form?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I just read that before.
11	THE COURT: Do you understand it?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Did you have enough time to go over it
14	with your lawyer?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And let me just get the original form
17	back. And for your lawyer, did you sign the form?
18	MS. WONG: Yes, I did, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: And for the government, did you sign the
20	form?
21	MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Based on what has been said by the
23	lawyers and Mr. Limmer, I believe he understands his right to
24	proceed before a district judge and he's waiving that right.
25	So I also am going to sign the form. We'll file that on the

court's docket. 1 2 Mr. Limmer, I'm going to ask you some background 3 questions. I'm going to start with the basics. What is your full name? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Charles Mark Limmer. 6 THE COURT: How old are you? 7 THE DEFENDANT: 76. 8 THE COURT: What is your highest level of education? THE DEFENDANT: I was in a master's program, two. 9 10 So I went through a bachelor's and a bachelor's, and I have 46 and a half master's credits. 11 12 THE COURT: Where were you studying? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Cortland State University. 14 THE COURT: What were you studying, what field? 15 I studied biological sciences and THE DEFENDANT: 16 species, speciation and species diversity. 17 THE COURT: Let me ask a different kind of 18 background question. Your lawyer already touched on this. 19 Are you suffering from any mental or physical illnesses? 20 THE DEFENDANT: I have a long list of medical 21 problems. 22 THE COURT: Your lawyer has some paperwork. I need 23 to ask you about them. If you agree the paper is right, maybe 24 your lawyer can read them into the record and I'll ask follow

25

up.

Proceedings

1	Does that work?
2	THE DEFENDANT: I didn't understand.
3	MS. WONG: Is it okay if I ask the questions about
4	the paperwork?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
6	THE COURT: I need to know that you're in good
7	enough mental and physical health to go ahead with this,
8	because it's a big decision. So I need to know what your
9	medical conditions are.
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm okay today. I'm having a
11	good day because the temperature is good.
12	THE COURT: Let me ask your attorney to read the
13	list of your medical conditions, and if there's anything
14	MS. WONG: Your Honor, I don't have the medical
15	conditions. I have the names of six different treating
16	physicians.
17	He is being treated for his cardiology,
18	endocrinology, ophthalmology.
19	THE COURT: What was the third one?
20	MS. WONG: Ophthalmology.
21	THE COURT: Eyes?
22	MS. WONG: Eyes. Rheumatology. He does have
23	diabetes, which is being monitored, and he has, as we
24	discussed, generalized anxiety disorder. I have a list of 16
25	medications that he's taking, prescribed medications, under

1 the care of his doctors, which I can read into the record, if 2 you would like. 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 MS. WONG: Number one is insulin, NovoLog insulin. Number two is Lantus insulin. Number three is Metoprolol. 5 Number four is Ramipril. Five is Fenofibrate. 6 Six is Tamsulosin. 7 8 Seven is Diazepam. Eight is Atorvastatin. Nine is 9 Pennsaid topical ointment. Eleven is Albuterol. Twelve is 10 Flovent. Fourteen is Hydrocodone-Acetaminophen. Fifteen is Presolol, as well as a daily 11 12 multi-vitamin. I don't believe I mentioned previously that he 13 also has COPD and asthma. 14 THE DEFENDANT: For which I take Symbicort. It's not down there. Symbicort is like Flovent. They're steroidal 15 16 inhalers. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MS. WONG: Also Xanax, which is prescribed for his 19 anxiety disorder. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Let's talk about the conditions. 21 Do any of your medical conditions, meaning do any of your 22 physical conditions, would they interfere with your ability to 23 understand today's proceedings?

No. I am not cognitively impaired.

Do any of the mental health conditions

THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT:

24

Proceedings

that you have, would they interfere with your ability to 1 2 understand these proceedings? 3 THE DEFENDANT: I understand verbally everything you 4 say to me. I understand exactly what you're saying. 5 Do you understand your interactions with THE COURT: 6 your attorney? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely. She puts me at ease. 8 THE COURT: That's good. 9 You listed a series of medicines, and we added 10 Xanax. Was it Xanax? Wrong medicine. What was it? Somebody 11 in the background. 12 I'm sorry. Valium. MS. WONG: 13 THE COURT: Valium. So let me restate the question. 14 Your lawyer listed a series of medicines, and also added 15 Valium to that. 16 Is anything about any of these medicines that would 17 interfere with your ability to understand today's proceedings? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Not that I know of. 19 THE COURT: Are you current on taking the prescribed 20 medicines, meaning if you were supposed to have taken a dose 21 today, did you take the dose today; if you were supposed to

THE DEFENDANT: My wife was a nurse for 40 years. She's on top of it every minute of the day.

have taken it yesterday but not today, did you take it

22

23

24

25

vesterday?

1	THE COURT: Is that the person in the back who
2	corrected the name of the medicine?
3	THE DEFENDANT: That's Susan Limmer, yes.
4	THE COURT: With your wife's help, are you current
5	with all of the medicines that you need to take?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Now, when it comes to insulin,
7	I'm an uncontrolled diabetic. So right now, for instance, I
8	am having a small problem but it doesn't affect me mentally.
9	THE COURT: We don't want anything to affect you
10	physically. Do you need sugar? Do you need insulin?
11	THE DEFENDANT: I take candy when I'm dropping too
12	fast. I take insulin. I'm good to go, and if I'm not I will
13	inject some insulin.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So you should let us know if you
15	need anything. If your concentration waivers, or if there's
16	any problem both for your health and for your ability to
17	understand these proceedings. Okay?
18	THE DEFENDANT: I appreciate your concern and I
19	thank you.
20	THE COURT: Do you have any untreated medical
21	conditions, obviously, that you know of?
22	THE DEFENDANT: I have stress induced anxiety, which
23	is exacerbated by the temperature outside. It puts me into an
24	asthmatic fit. So sometimes I might pass out, but only if I'm
25	cold.

1	THE COURT: Okay. So you're doing okay right now?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Today is a good day.
3	THE COURT: Besides the stress anxiety interaction
4	with the asthma, do you have any other medical conditions that
5	we haven't touched on?
6	THE DEFENDANT: You mentioned I have osteoarthritis.
7	My bones are growing through my skin. If you want to take a
8	look, you haven't seen any of these.
9	THE COURT: Your hands are a bit stiff as you hold
10	them up there.
11	Do you take any treatment for the osteoarthritis?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I get injections of steroids
13	from Dr. Khan and it's a long Indian name.
14	THE COURT: Is osteoarthritis causing you pain right
15	now?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Only in my left hand.
17	THE COURT: Is it distracting you from focusing on
18	today's proceeding?
19	THE DEFENDANT: No.
20	THE COURT: Is there anything about the steroid
21	injections that would interfere with your ability to
22	understand today's proceeding?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Not one bit.
24	THE COURT: So we have the conditions and what
25	doctors are helping you with your conditions. We have the

medicines, that we've discussed. We have the osteoarthritis 1 2 and the anxiety stress that interacts with the asthma. 3 Do you have any other medical conditions, whether 4 it's physical or mental health related? 5 THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not. Overriding all that is strictly the uncontrolled diabetes, which puts a limit on 6 7 my longevity. 8 THE COURT: Sorry about that. Hopefully you can 9 continue to work on it. I can tell some of these medicines 10 are related to diabetes on the list you read. 11 I already asked you, were you up-to-date on what 12 you're supposed to be taking in terms of the prescription 13 medicine. 14 THE DEFENDANT: Absolutely. THE COURT: Have you taken any other medicine or 15 16 drugs in the last 24 hours? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Not in the last 24 years. 18 THE COURT: That's helpful. 19 How about any alcohol in the last 24 hours? 20 THE DEFENDANT: That is a never. 21 THE COURT: It's contraindicated for a lot of those 22 conditions that you mentioned. Have you ever been 23 hospitalized or treated for a drug addiction or alcoholism? 24 THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Have you ever been hospitalized for any

1	mental health issues?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Not yet.
3	THE COURT: Do you have any, in the course of this
4	case, did you have any difficulty communicating with your
5	attorney?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Not at all.
7	THE COURT: Is your mind clear as you sit here
8	today?
9	THE DEFENDANT: I am as fit as I can be.
10	THE COURT: If anything changes as we go along, for
11	whatever reason, including your sugar is going up and down,
12	let us know. We'll let you take the opportunity to treat that
13	and see if we can continue either today or another day.
14	THE DEFENDANT: Understood.
15	THE COURT: You're checking your glucose monitor?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17	THE COURT: I'm going to go over some questions
18	related to your right to have an attorney. As a defendant in
19	a criminal case, you have the right to be represented by an
20	attorney at every stage of your criminal case, including at
21	today's proceeding. If you can't afford an attorney, the
22	Court will appoint one to represent you.
23	Counsel, are you appointed or retained?
24	MS. WONG: I am retained, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Your attorney is sitting next to you.

1	If at any time you need to speak with her, let me know and
2	we'll let you do so.
3	Do you understand?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: And I already asked you but let me
6	confirm, you have no difficulty communicating with your
7	attorney; is that correct?
8	THE DEFENDANT: That is correct.
9	THE COURT: Have you had enough time to discuss your
10	case with your attorney, including the decision to enter a
11	guilty plea?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Are you fully satisfied with the
14	representation and advice that your attorney has provided for
15	you in this case?
16	THE DEFENDANT: I think so, yes.
17	THE COURT: You're happy with your lawyer's work?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Just a couple of questions for your
20	lawyer. Counsel, have you discussed this case with your
21	client?
22	MS. WONG: Yes, I have.
23	THE COURT: Have you had any difficulty
24	communicating with him?
25	MS. WONG: No, Your Honor.

Are you satisfied that Mr. Limmer 1 THE COURT: 2 understand the rights he'll be waiving if he decides to go 3 ahead with the guilty plea? 4 MS. WONG: Yes, I do. THE COURT: In your opinion, is he capable of 5 understanding the nature of these proceedings? 6 7 MS. WONG: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Do you have any doubts as to his 9 competency? 10 MS. WONG: No. 11 THE COURT: Have you advised him of the operation of 12 the sentencing guidelines in this case? 13 MS. WONG: Yes, I have. 14 THE COURT: And have you advised him of the maximum sentence and other possible sentencing consequences? 15 16 Yes, I have. MS. WONG: 17 So in this case, there's a document THE COURT: 18 called the indictment. It sets forth a number of allegations 19 about violations of particular federal laws. 20 This indictment happens to have a good amount of 21 information at the front of it, but the counts in the 22 indictment are as follows: 23 Count 1 is conspiracy to smuggle goods into the 24 United States. That charge lists overt acts that the

government alleges were associated with that conspiracy.

1	Count 2 is smuggling goods into the United States.
2	Count 3 is smuggling goods out of the United States.
3	Count 4, smuggling goods out of the United States.
4	Count 5, Lacey Act, false labeling.
5	Count 6, Lacey Act, trafficking.
6	And then, also, there are criminal forfeiture
7	allegations listed in the last couple pages of the indictment.
8	Counsel, do you want me to read the indictment aloud?
9	MS. WONG: No, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Limmer, do you understand the
11	charges against you?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Have you discussed with your attorney
14	what it is that the government would have to prove in order
15	for you to be found guilty of each of these counts?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Do you want me to explain a little bit
18	more what I'm asking, or do you understand the question?
19	THE DEFENDANT: I understand the question. That
20	doesn't mean that there are not extenuating circumstances.
21	THE COURT: Hang on. I'm going to interrupt because
22	there are several different stages of today's proceeding, and
23	right now we're at the part where I need to make sure you have
24	the background information for making your decision.

As we move towards the end of this, if you want to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

go ahead with your decision to enter a plea, I'll ask you questions about what happened and if you want to make a statement after consulting with your attorney, you're free to do that.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Let me just make sure the record is clear. Do you understand what it is the government would have to prove in order for you to be found guilty of each of these charges that I just read?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So as I understand it, under the plea agreement, what is proposed is that you're going to enter a plea of guilty with regard to the first count of the indictment, which is the conspiracy to smuggle goods into the United States in violation of a particular federal law, which is 18 United States Code, Section 371.

I'm going to ask the government to state the elements of that particular offense. So when I say elements, this is what the government would have to prove in order for you to be found guilty of this count.

MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, the elements of the offense of conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. 371 as follows: First, that two or more persons entered the unlawful agreement charged in the indictment starting on or about the date specified in the indictment.

1	Second, the defendant knowingly and willfully became
2	a member of the conspiracy; third, one of the members of the
3	conspiracy knowingly committed at least one of the overt acts
4	charged in the indictment; and fourth, the admitted overt act
5	or acts were committed to further some object of the
6	conspiracy.
7	THE COURT: Just so everything is clear, you refer
8	to the overt acts, is it that you're referring to the overt
9	acts that begin in 18A and continue through 18E on pages six
0	and seven of the indictment?
1	MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: And the underlying offense, which is
3	smuggling goods into the United States, what are the elements
4	of that?
5	MR. SHERMAN: The elements of the substantive
6	underlying crime of smuggling goods into the United States in
7	violation of 18 United States Code, Section 545 are as
8	follows:
9	First, that the defendant imported or brought into
20	the United States the merchandise in question; second, the
21	defendant did so contrary to law; and third, the defendant
22	knew the importation was contrary to law.
23	THE COURT: And the law that's referred particularly
24	there is?

25

MR. SHERMAN: Here, Your Honor, two of the relevant

laws are 50 CFR Section 14.61, which requires importers of wildlife to file a signed and completed declaration; and 50 CFR Section 4.91, which require fish and wildlife permits to import any wildlife for commercial purposes.

THE COURT: Mr. Limmer, do you understand the elements as just described by the government?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask counsel, do you believe the government correctly stated the elements of the relevant offense in the conspiracy to smuggle goods into the United States?

MS. WONG: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now, I'm going to ask the government to describe what evidence it would offer at trial in order to prove that the defendant, in fact, committed the charge of conspiracy to smuggle goods into the United States.

MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, if this case were to proceed to trial, the government would prove its case through witness testimony, including agent testimony, returns from search warrants, including electronic search warrant returns, including emails between the defendant and co-conspirators reflecting the agreement to smuggle the products into the United States, and to falsely label those products, as well as additional documents relating to the defendant's prior understanding of the requirements of fish and wildlife

services to have declarations and an import license, as well 1 2 as photographs related to surveillance. 3 THE COURT: Did the government produce discovery to 4 defense counsel in this case? 5 MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. So for defense counsel, did you review 6 THE COURT: 7 the discovery provided by the government? 8 MS. WONG: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Do you believe the government would be 10 able to establish the elements of the offense to which it proposed your client is going to plead guilty based on the 11 12 evidence? Yes, I do. 13 MS. WONG: 14 THE COURT: Did you review that evidence with your 15 client? 16 MS. WONG: Yes, I have. 17 THE COURT: Do you believe Mr. Limmer understands 18 the application of the law, and the elements of the count of 19 conspiracy to smuggle goods into the United States to the 20 evidence described by the government? 21 MS. WONG: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Did you and your client have sufficient 23 opportunity to review the evidence? 24 MS. WONG: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT:

25

Mr. Limmer, did you have a sufficient

opportunity to review the evidence provided by the government to you and your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, on paper. Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand the application of the law of the elements of the conspiracy to smuggle goods into the United States to the evidence and discovery provided by the government?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At this point I'm going to go over some of your rights in this proceeding and rights that you would be giving up if you decide to go ahead with the guilty plea.

You have the right to plead not guilty, as you have already done, and you have the right to persist in that plea. So even if you are guilty, you have a choice. It's up to you to decide what to do in this case. It's not your lawyer's decision or your family's decision. It's just your decision.

You can withdraw your previously entered plea of not guilty and plead guilty, as I'm told you want to do, or you can choose to go to trial. If you would like to go to trial, you should persist in your plea of not guilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: If you plead not guilty to the charges, or you persist in your plea of not guilty, you would have the right under the Constitution and laws of the United States to

a speedy and public trial before a jury with the assistance of your lawyer on the charges that are contained in the indictment.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At any trial you would be presumed innocent. You wouldn't have to prove your innocence. Under the American legal system, the government has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that a defendant is guilty of the crime or crimes charged. If at trial the government failed to meet the burden of proof as to any count, the jury would have the obligation to find you not guilty as to that count.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Sometimes a jury can return a verdict of not guilty even though the jurors believe the defendant probably did commit the crimes that were charged. When a jury returns such a verdict, the jurors are not saying that they believe the defendant to be innocent. Instead, they are simply not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty.

Do you understand that distinction?

THE DEFENDANT: Now I do.

THE COURT: If you were to go to trial in this case,

witnesses for the government would come to court and testify in your presence. Your lawyer would have the right to cross examine in each witness, to object to the evidence offered by the government, and to offer evidence on your own behalf.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: You would have the right, or your lawyer would help you with the right, to subpoena or compel witnesses to come to court and testify.

Do you understand that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At trial, you would have the right to testify on your own behalf if you wanted to do so, but you couldn't be forced to testify under the Constitution of the United States; under the laws of the United States, no one can be forced to testify against himself.

If you had a trial before the district judge, Judge DeArcy Hall, and you decided not to testify, the judge would instruct the jury that the jurors could not hold that fact against you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do understand that.

THE COURT: If you decide that you're not going to go to trial, and instead you're going to plead guilty to one of the crimes charged in the indictment and the district judge

accepts your plea, you'll be giving up your constitutional 1 2 right to a trial and all the rights I just discussed. 3 Do you understand? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. May I ask a question? THE COURT: My suggestion is first ask your lawyer 5 and see if you can figure it out, and then after that, you can 6 7 ask me. It's up to you. 8 (Pause.) 9 THE DEFENDANT: It's been clarified to me. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So if you go ahead with the

THE COURT: Okay. So if you go ahead with the guilty plea there is not going to be a trial in your case. If the judge accepts your plea, she will enter a judgment of guilty based upon your plea.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: If you decide you want to plead guilty, I'm going to have to ask you questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself and Judge DeArcy Hall that you are guilty of the crime to which you plead guilty.

You'll have to answer my questions and acknowledge your guilt. In doing so, you'll be giving up your right not to testify against yourself.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you enter a plea of guilty today and

you admit the conduct in the criminal charge to which you're pleading guilty and the judge accepts your plea, you won't be able to appeal the question to a higher court of whether you committed the crime or not.

If you decide to plead guilty, then that question is over and it's been answered in the affirmative by you. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Are you willing to give up your right to a trial and all the other rights I just discussed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: In your case, I have a document called the plea agreement. I have put a sticker on it. So it's marked as Court Exhibit 1. I may refer to them interchangeably. So I'm going to hold up the document, the plea agreement.

Did you read this whole document?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand everything in it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm going to start by looking at the last page, and then I'm going to go through some parts of the agreement in detail. In the middle of the last page, which is page 10, it has the following statements:

I have read the entire agreement and discussed it

1	with my attorney. I understand all of its terms and am
2	entering into it knowingly and voluntarily.
3	Are those two sentences correct statements?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Right below those statements on the copy
6	that I have, I'm holding it up, your name is printed. There's
7	a signature.
8	Is that your signature?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Did you sign it?
11	THE DEFENDANT: She has the signature?
12	MS. WONG: Yes.
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14	THE COURT: I can show it to you.
15	THE DEFENDANT: I believe you. You're a judge.
16	THE COURT: That is definitely not good enough. I
17	need you to confirm that that's your signature, if it is, in
18	fact, your signature, about halfway down the page.
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Is that your signature?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Did you intend to sign the document and
23	to indicate that you're bound by the agreement?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Whatever my lawyer says I
25	trust.

Let me tell you something about what THE COURT: 2 we're trying to do today. In your criminal case it's 3 completely fine for a defendant to rely heavily on your 4 But this is a very, very key step in the case, and 5 your decision making needs to be completely independent, 6 knowing, and voluntarily.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You can make the decision in your head and in your heart based on what your lawyer tells you, and you decide if you trust what your lawyer says or not, but it can't keep going with this if it's based on you just trusting her. You need to independently make the decisions that are key to entering a plea.

There is nobody pressuring you to do it. I'll ask you if someone is pressuring you. We don't have to keep It's totally up to you. So let's go back to the -aoina. first of all, do you understand what I just said?

> I do understand what you just said. THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: In signing that agreement, you confirmed that that was your signature. Did you intend to be bound by the entire agreement with the US government, which is in that document, which is right in front of you?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask you more questions about it in a minute, but let me ask you about the signatures. For your attorney, did you sign where it says approved by?

1	MS. WONG: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: And to my law clerk, do you mind showing
3	the document to the government. Who signed on behalf of the
4	government?
5	MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I signed, as well as
6	my supervisor, Jay Matthew Hagins.
7	THE COURT: What was his role in this case?
8	MR. SHERMAN: Supervisor.
9	THE COURT: Does the government represent that Court
10	Exhibit 1, the plea agreement, contains the entirety of any
11	understanding that the United States Attorney's Office has
12	reached with Mr. Limmer?
13	MR. SHERMAN: Yes.
14	THE COURT: And for defense counsel, have you read
15	and reviewed the plea agreement, Court Exhibit 1, with Mr.
16	Limmer?
17	MS. WONG: In its entirety, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Does the plea agreement, Court
19	Exhibit 1, contain the entire agreement that your client has
20	entered into with the United States government?
21	MS. WONG: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: For Mr. Limmer, did you discuss the plea
23	agreement with your attorney?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Did you have a sufficient opportunity do

1 so?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand everything in the plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: I do understand it.

THE COURT: Other than the promises that are contained in Court Exhibit 1, the plea agreement, has anyone made any promises to you, any other promises to you that have caused you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: So there's no side agreement? That's what my question is asking. Any side agreements? Anyone else make a promise?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I agree.

MS. WONG: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Can you repeat the question?

THE COURT: Other than the promises contained in the written agreement, which has been marked as Court Exhibit 1, this is the plea agreement, has anyone made any other promises that have caused you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you to get you to agree to this plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: I'm going to go over some parts of the

plea agreement in detail, and I'm going to ask you a few more general questions.

As you can see, what's outlined in paragraph 1 of the plea agreement, Court Exhibit 1, is that you're going to plead guilty to Count 1 of the indictment, which charges you with a violation of 18 United States Code, Section 371.

As we talked about earlier, that is the first count in the indictment, which is conspiracy to smuggle goods into the United States.

The possible punishment associated with this count are as follows. They are outlined in the plea agreement. The maximum term of imprisonment is five years and the minimum term of imprisonment is none.

Do you understand those provisions?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: In the federal system, there is no parole. So if you are sentenced to a term of imprisonment, you should expect that you will serve all or almost all of that term of imprisonment in custody.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Wow. Yes.

THE COURT: I say that because this is a serious decision. It's possible that the district judge, if she accepts your plea and sentences you, will give you a term of imprisonment. Do you understand?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: There is also a condition called supervised release. So supervised release is essentially probation. If you are put on supervised release and the maximum term of supervised release is three years, those three years would follow any term of imprisonment.

If you were to violate a condition of release, you could be sentenced for up to one year of imprisonment and that would be without credit for pre-release imprisonment or time previously served on post release supervision.

THE DEFENDANT: That presupposes that I live for three years.

THE COURT: We're all hoping that, and that's down the line. Yes. What I'm describing is basically what the rules are for everyone who would take a plea to this count.

Just to be clear, this is what the judge has the authority to do, and we're going to talk about the sentencing guidelines in a minute and that's what the judge will take into account.

I can't tell you today what the sentence will be, but it is possible it would include imprisonment and it's possible it will include supervised release.

If you're on supervised release, you're subject to restrictions on your liberty such as having to report to a probation officer, how much you could travel could be limited, other limitations.

1	Do you understand supervised release?
2	THE DEFENDANT: I understand that.
3	THE COURT: There is a maximum fine that can be
4	imposed. That is the greater of \$250,000 or twice the gross
5	gain or twice the gross loss.
6	Do you understand that term?
7	THE DEFENDANT: I do understand it.
8	THE COURT: You have to be charged a \$100 special
9	assessment. Do you understand that?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And then other penalties are criminal
12	forfeiture. In your plea agreement, that is described in
13	paragraphs 6 through 12. And in yours, it lists both money
14	and many items. And those are described particularly in
15	paragraph 6, which starts on page 5 and continues to page 7.
16	The criminal forfeiture, more generally, is
17	described in paragraphs 6 through 12. So have you read those
18	paragraphs of the plea agreement?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Do you understand them?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Did you have sufficient opportunity to
23	review them with your attorney?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Let me ask your lawyer a question. Is

there any concern about immigration consequences that I should review them here on the record?

MS. WONG: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You waive that?

MS. WONG: Yes.

THE COURT: So I'm going to explain how the sentencing process works. I believe your lawyer has gone over it with you before, but it's important so I want to make sure you understand it.

So in terms of the sentencing process, as I mentioned earlier, the judge who is going to make the sentencing decision will review the transcript from today's proceeding and decide whether she's going to accept your plea.

If she accepts your plea, she will go ahead with the sentencing process. The judge does not have complete discretion to impose a sentence outside of the minimum and maximum sentences set forth in the statute, and that we just reviewed. The maximum being five years and the minimum being zero years. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The judge's process for deciding what your sentence is going to be is a multistep process. First, she considers what are called the advisory sentencing guidelines. They have been prepared by a government group called the United States Sentencing Commission.

1 2

3

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

They were written to help a judge decide what is a reasonable sentence in a criminal case. So these guidelines are only advisory, but the judge will take them into account in determining what sentence to give you.

Have you discussed the sentencing guidelines with your attorney?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The judge's second step is to consider whether there are factors present that would allow the judge to depart from the advisory sentencing guidelines. Sometimes a judge can depart upwardly and sometimes a judge can depart downwardly.

Third, the judge will consider factors that are included in a particular statute, which is called 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a). The Judge weighs the factors against the facts and circumstances of your case.

After doing all of that analysis, the judge will decide whether she's going to impose a guideline sentence or a non-guideline sentence. The bottom line is, though, until the date of sentencing, you cannot know with certainty what the sentencing guidelines will be for your case or whether the judge will have grounds to depart from them, or whether the judge will impose a guideline sentence or a non-guideline sentence.

Do you understand? You look a little surprised by

what I just said.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to say I understand, but I couldn't keep track of all of it.

THE COURT: So let me just go back a little bit. We talked about what the possible penalties are, and then what I was trying to tell you is that when the judge who is making the decision about whether to sentence you, assuming she accepts your plea for the moment and she's going to give you a sentence, she has to consider a lot of information in making her decision.

The first thing she considers are these advisory sentencing guidelines. Basically, I have them over here. It's a very thick set of books that talks about all of the federal crimes that there are, and provides information for things the judge considers important, or not as important, and how a judge might weigh them because the federal system covers the entire United States and, basically, the sentencing commission wants all the judges to have at least the same starting point when thinking about a sentence.

So first, the judge will think about the sentencing guidelines, and we're going to talk in a minute about what the estimates are for your case.

But they won't be set until the date of sentencing.

And the next step is for the judge to consider if there is

anything about your case that would mean that she should go

higher than the sentencing guidelines or lower than the sentencing guidelines.

After she's done all her work about the sentencing guidelines, then she looks at a different law, that's a particular statute. The number of the statute is 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a). She thinks about the factors, about your situation and what happened, and integrates her work on the guidelines with her work about the 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a) factors.

So it's a lot of work and a lot of thinking about the particulars of your case. After she's done all of that, she'll make a decision as to what your sentence will be.

Here we are in March, and let's see if we have a sentencing date. It's not for several months. We'll get to this. The sentencing date is scheduled for October 4th of 2024.

So, basically, and I'll tell you a little bit more about this, what happens between now and then is that the probation office will prepare a report about you. They'll interview you. They'll talk to people about the case.

They'll review all the paperwork, and after the probation department makes a recommendation and gives their report to the judge, you and your lawyer and the government's lawyer can provide information to the judge. And then there will be a hearing and you can talk to the judge. The government and

your lawyer can talk to the judge. So after all of that information is presented to the judge, she'll make a decision about your sentence.

But for now, the main question is, do you understand the process that the judge will go through to make a sentencing decision?

THE DEFENDANT: Now I do.

THE COURT: Okay. It also means that until you get to the date of sentencing, which is currently scheduled for October, you can't know, nobody here can know definitively what the sentencing guidelines will be for your case, or what the judge's decision will be.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you need to take a break?

THE DEFENDANT: No. I'm getting a second wind now.

THE COURT: To go back to the sentencing guidelines, as I said, right now we can only have an estimate because all of the information about the relevant circumstances may not be available. There might be a mistake in the information available, or information might change between now and the time of sentencing.

But I'm going to ask the government to give its current best estimate as to what the sentencing guidelines will say about your case.

correct.

plea agreement.

The government's position is outlined in paragraph two of the plea agreement, but I'm going to ask them to state it on the record.

MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. Assuming the defendant continues to accept responsibility through sentencing, the government's best estimate is that the defendant would be in an adjusted offense level of 13 and Criminal History Category of I, which would carry a range of imprisonment of 12 to 18 months imprisonment.

THE COURT: Let me focus on one thing in that paragraph, which is in, at least in the copy I have, it has a date plea date of 12-15-2023.

Are you in agreement that should be updated?

MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, I apologize. That is

The date is wrong. I meant through the date of the

THE COURT: Let me ask my law clerk to give you a copy of the plea agreement. The date should be at least today. If you could update that and initial it. Defense

So the date that was in paragraph two previously as 12-15-23 has been changed to 2-27-24, which is today. So for the government, did you initial this change and you agree with it?

MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

counsel and the defendant should initial it.

Proceedings

1	THE COURT: And, defense counsel, did you initial
2	the change and do you agree with it?
3	MS. WONG: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: And Mr. Limmer?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Let's get back to the main point. In
7	sum, what is the government's estimate as to what the
8	sentencing guidelines will be and what range of imprisonment
9	does that carry?
10	MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. The government's
11	estimate is that there would be an adjusted offense level of
12	13, which assuming the defendant is a Criminal History
13	Category I would be a range of imprisonment of 12 to
14	18 months.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Limmer, do you understand the
16	government's estimate with regard to the sentencing
17	guidelines?
18	THE DEFENDANT: I understood, but do not agree with
19	it.
20	THE COURT: Let me ask your attorney, do you agree
21	do you agree the government let me ask a different
22	question.
23	What is your estimate as to the sentencing
24	guidelines? But I will note the last sentence of
25	paragraph two says the defendant stipulates to the above

1 guidelines calculation.

MS. WONG: Your Honor, defense counsel does agree to stipulate to that guidelines calculation, and I believe the defendant would also stipulate to that calculation but is, perhaps, hoping for a different sentence.

THE COURT: As we've talked about, there are lots of factors for the judge to consider, the whole sentencing guidelines process and the other statute the judge needs to consider.

But this is where this is all going to start with what appears to be a possible sentencing guidelines calculation of a level that would lead to a term of imprisonment of 12 to 18 months as the possible range of imprisonment.

Let me ask Mr. Limmer. Do you understand, first, that this says that you stipulate to the above guidelines calculation?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand what that means?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: This estimate is not binding on the government, probation department, or the Court. And if the estimate is wrong, that's not going to be a basis upon which you can withdraw your plea of guilty.

Do you understand?

I do understand. I ain't going to 1 THE DEFENDANT: 2 make it for 18 months anyway. 3 THE COURT: Well, this whole system --4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: -- is based on the understanding that 5 you're making a choice about your life, and that there are 6 7 consequences to those choices and everybody hopes that you 8 live with them and move beyond them. 9 THE DEFENDANT: You're making a choice about my life 10 but fine, ves. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand that the district 12 judge will sentence you according to her interpretations of 13 the sentencing guidelines and the applicable law? Do you 14 understand that? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Just to be sure, the judge has the 17 authority under the law to decide upon and impose a sentence 18 that is possibly more severe or possibly less severe than the 19 sentencing guidelines provide for. 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Included in this agreement in 23 paragraph four is a provision that is an appellate waiver. So 24 it says that the defendant agrees not to file an appeal or

otherwise challenge by petition, pursuant to 28 United States

25

Code Section 2255, or any other provision of conviction or 1 2 sentence in the event that the Court imposes a term of 3 imprisonment of 21 months or below. 4 Do you understand that appellate waiver? THE DEFENDANT: I understand it. 5 6 THE COURT: Are you in agreement with it? 7 THE DEFENDANT: No. 8 THE COURT: Well, it's a key part of this agreement. 9 Do you want to take a moment and discuss it with your 10 attorney? 11 THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to add to whatever my 12 attorney tells me to answer. 13 THE COURT: We can't do it that way. You can 14 certainly take your attorney's advice. I'm going to let you 15 have a private conversation. Let me know when you're ready. 16 (Pause.) 17 MS. WONG: Thank you, Your Honor. We're ready to go 18 on the record. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Limmer, did you have enough time to 20 speak to your attorney about whatever your concern is? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: So what we were talking about was the 23 appellate waiver, which says in sum that you're not going to

appeal directly or indirectly if the Court gives you a term of

imprisonment of 21 months or below.

24

25

1	Do you understand that appellate waiver?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Do you agree with it now after you had
4	the opportunity to speak with your attorney?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Did anyone force you to agree to that
7	appellate waiver?
8	THE DEFENDANT: No.
9	THE COURT: Let's just go back to the overall plea
10	agreement and make sure you are in agreement with it. You
11	said earlier that you read the entire plea agreement; is that
12	correct?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14	THE COURT: You understand everything in it?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Are you in agreement with what it says?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Do you want to go ahead with the guilty
19	plea subject to this plea agreement?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Are you doing so knowingly and
22	voluntarily?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Is there anything about your health,
25	either your mental health or your physical health, that would

interfere with your ability to understand the entire plea 1 2 agreement? 3 THE DEFENDANT: No. 4 THE COURT: Do you have any questions about anything that we've covered that you want to raise with your attorney 5 or with me or have your attorney raise with me? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: No. 8 THE COURT: Counsel, do you know of any reason why 9 your client should not plead guilty to the first count of the indictment? 10 MS. WONG: No. Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: And, in your opinion, is it in Mr. 13 Limmer's best interest to proceed with the guilty plea? 14 MS. WONG: Yes. 15 THE COURT: And in your professional opinion, is it in his best interest to proceed with the guilty plea subject 16 to the plea agreement, which is Court Exhibit 1? 17 18 MS. WONG: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Given that your client has many health 20 issues, do you believe he's in good enough mental and physical 21 health to go ahead with the guilty plea? 22 MS. WONG: Yes. THE COURT: 23 Before we go ahead with that, is there 24 anything the government wants to say? 25 Not at this time, Your Honor. I would MR. SHERMAN:

ask for just one moment to quickly confer with defense 1 2 counsel. 3 THE COURT: Yes. Let us know when you're ready. 4 (Pause.) MR. SHERMAN: We're ready, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: Mr. Limmer, at this point are you ready 7 to plead? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Do you need an opportunity speak with 10 your attorney before you do so? 11 THE DEFENDANT: No. 12 THE COURT: So what I understand -- let me ask you, 13 I'm going to ask you about the count and then I'm going to ask 14 you what it is that you did such that you are, in fact, guilty of the count to which you are pleading guilty, and then I need 15 16 you to tell me what it is that you did in your own words. 17 Those are the next steps in this process. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: So with regard to the first count of the 20 indictment, which is the count of conspiracy to smuggle goods 21 into the United States in violation of federal law, 22 specifically 18 United States Code Section 371, how do you 23 plead, guilty or not guilty? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 25 Now, I have to ask you what is it that THE COURT:

- you did such that you are, in fact, guilty of that count.Tell me in your own words.
 - THE DEFENDANT: I am guilty of importing butterfly specimens after my license was rescinded.
 - MS. WONG: Can you please read the statement?
- 6 THE DEFENDANT: I should read this?

THE COURT: Let me tell you a couple of things and you can speak with your lawyer. You remember earlier in this proceeding we went over the elements, and the elements are what the government would have to prove in order to show that you're guilty of Count 1 of the indictment.

So if you were pleading guilty, you need to tell me information, truthful information, that would show that you satisfy the elements of the count.

THE DEFENDANT: I feel confident that the government can prove that I violated the law by importing butterfly specimens without a proper import permit.

THE COURT: You can consult with the paper, but I need to hear in your own words a few more details, if you have a full statement. You can't directly read anything to me. You have to tell me in your own words. You can consult with the paperwork.

One way we can do this is that you can question your client as to the elements, and then depending on your answers we'll see if there is complete allocution. That might be an

Proceedings

1	easier way to do this, instead of trying to remember
2	everything that needs to be covered.
3	MS. WONG: Would you like me to read an allocution?
4	Mr. Limmer, between October 2022 and September 2023, did you,
5	together with others, conspire to smuggle wildlife into the
6	United States, including protected species of Birdwing
7	butterflies by directing co-conspirators to falsely label
8	shipments of wildlife, which were being imported into the
9	United States?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11	MS. WONG: Is it also true that at that time you
12	lacked a valid commercial importing wildlife license?
13	THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.
14	MS. WONG: Is it also correct that you did not file
15	the required wildlife importation declarations with fish and
16	wildlife services?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	MS. WONG: And were these shipments received in
19	Commack, New York?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	MS. WONG: Is that allocution sufficient?
22	THE COURT: When did this happen, the dates again?
23	MS. WONG: Between October 2022 and September 2023.
24	THE COURT: Are those the correct dates?
25	MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And for Mr. Limmer?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Did you knowingly and willfully join in
the unlawful agreement with your co-conspirators?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
THE COURT: And defense counsel, does your client
want to add anything to that?
MS. WONG: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Government, is that a satisfactory
allocution?
MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Limmer, are you making this guilty
plea voluntarily and of your own free will?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
THE COURT: Has anyone threatened or forced or
pressured you to plead guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.
THE COURT: Other than the plea agreement, which is
Court Exhibit 1, has anyone made any promises to you that has
caused you to plead guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.
THE COURT: Has anyone promised you or made you any
promises as to what sentence you would receive from the
district judge if you pled guilty?
THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you feeling well enough to go ahead with this plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: I can tell, Mr. Limmer, you wanted to say some things. You are welcome to put them on the record.

I am not the judge who makes the decision to accept your plea or your sentence.

So if you want them on the record now, you can make those statements, but you can also save them for probation and the judge who is doing the sentence, which is currently Judge DeArcy Hall.

THE DEFENDANT: So I should wait until I'm before Judge DeArcy Hall before I make that statement?

THE COURT: It's up to you, but she's the only one who makes the sentencing decision. If you say it now, she'll just be reading it in the record as compared to reading it in the record and hearing it from you.

THE DEFENDANT: I will wait to make my statement to her.

THE COURT: Okay. Based on information provided here today by the U.S. Attorney's Office, by defense counsel, and by Mr. Limmer, I believe Mr. Limmer is acting knowingly and voluntarily, that he's in good enough health, both mentally and physically to go ahead with this change of plea hearing, that he fully understands the charges against him,

particularly the counts of conspiracy to smuggle goods into
the United States, Count 1 of the indictment, and he
understands his rights, the rights he'll be waiving by going
ahead with the plea, and he understands the consequences of
his plea.

I believe there is a factual basis for the plea and his allocution that he just stated on the record. So, therefore, I respectfully recommend to the district judge that she accept Mr. Limmer's guilty plea to Count 1 of the indictment, which is a count of conspiracy to smuggle goods into the United States in violation of 18 United States Code Section 371.

The sentencing date is currently scheduled for October 4, 2024, at noon before Judge DeArcy Hall. If for any reason that date needs to change, you need to contact Judge DeArcy Hall's chambers.

As I mentioned earlier, there will be a report prepared about you, Mr. Limmer, by the probation department. So let me ask your attorney, would you like us to let probation know that you would like to be part of the interview process for preparing that document?

MS. WONG: Yes, please.

THE COURT: We'll do that. Obviously, Mr. Limmer is not in custody. I assume that there's a bond; is that correct?

1	MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Is there any reason that any of the
3	conditions should change?
4	MR. SHERMAN: No, Your Honor.
5	MS. WONG: No, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay. We're going to give the original
7	plea agreement to the government to keep in its custody, given
8	that there's some changes and there's a signature. I ask that
9	the government provide a copy to defense counsel.
10	Is there anything else we should put on the record
11	here today?
12	MR. SHERMAN: Nothing from the government.
13	MS. WONG: Nothing from the defense, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: I'll note, Mr. Limmer's wife has been
15	present in the courtroom for the plea. Anything else? We're
16	good. Thank you. Take care.
17	(Proceedings concluded at 4:55 p.m.)
18	
19	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
20	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
21	
22	/S/ Nicole Sesta, RMR, CRR Court Reporter/Transcriber
23	court Reporter/Transcriber
24	<u>March 5, 2024</u> Date
25	